

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,785	02/25/2002	Howard W. DeMoore	4040-02800	5468
30652 75	590 03/04/2004		EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.			CRENSHAW, MARVIN P	
5700 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 330 PLANO. TX 75024		330	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2854	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

+	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/083,785	DEMOORE ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this application of the sapplication of the same of the sa	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper out of the fee. The appropriation of the final originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 36.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 35 and 37 - 43</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	- 0
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer10. Other:	at(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	H-l	
_	SUPERV	DREW H. HIRSHFELD ISORY PATENT EXAM NOLOGY CENTER 28	MINER

The claimed matter of having the flexible jacket covering "permanently attached so as to not separate without damaging one or the other" raises a new issue and requires a further seach and/or consideration.